3-00 P.M.

Mahajan Commission Report—Adoption of

Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—May I respectfully urge upon the Hon. Chief Minister to state what exactiy is the latest and considered stand of the Government on the Mysore-Maharashtra border dispute. Now that the respected people of integrity like Mr. Jaya Prakash Narayan have said that the Mahajan Commission has not followed any rigid principles, would it not be worthwhile for the Government of Mysore to take an objective view and have the solution of the border dispute on democratic principles, taking into consideration the wishes of the people, language, etc., and more so when the Government of India is controlled by the Congress party.....

Sri K. H. SRINIVAS (Sagara).—On a point of order, Sir.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Sir, more so when both the State Governments concern are being ruled by the same Congress party ? Further, may I also know from the Hon. Chief Minister, since he has already stated that the entire Mysore Legislature is solid behind the implementation of the Mahajan Commission's Report, whether the entire bulk of the legislators in the border areas have not opposed the Mahajan Commission's, Report, Sir?

Sri B. B. SAYANAK.—Sir, I support hon. Member Sri Kadam.

Sri K. H. SRINIVAS.—Sir, I am on a point of order...

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is the point of order ?

Sri K. H. SRINIVAS.—Sir, my point of order is that the matter referred to by the hon. Member Sri B. P. Kadam does not find a place in the agenda of this House for the day and hence, hon. Member Sri Kadam must not be allowed to make an elaborate statement on the matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The point raised by hon. Member Sri K. H. Srinivas is perfectly correct. I have already indicated that no matter should be raised which is not in the list of business for the day, except with the permission of the Speaker and I have also indicated that such permission could be sought under rule 312. Hon. Member Sri Kadam has not done so and I uphold the point of order raised by hon. member Sri K. H. Srinivas. I allowed Sri Kadam to speak because I did not know what he exactly wanted to say.

Sri S. M. GUREDDI.—I rise to a point of order. ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಯಾರು ಏನು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಬಹುದೇ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri V. N. PATIL.—On a point of order, Sir. When there is a point of order raised by an hon. Member, he should be heard.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There cannot be any point of orders, when the Chair is giving a ruling.

I uphold the point of order raised by hon. Member Sri K. H. Srinivas and inform hon. Member Sri B. P. Kadam that if he wants to raise any matter he should have taken permission of the Chair. Secondly, this matter is being raised almost every day. The Hon. Chief Minister has made it very clear and I do not think there is any scope for further explanation. However, if hon. Member Sri Kadam wants to say anything, let him give notice in writing under rule 312. For the time being, I do not allow anything to be raised.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR (Tumkur).—Sir, when the Assembly has already adopted a resolution and a statement in this respect has been made by the hon. Chief Minister, would it be right on the part of the hon. Chief Minister now to make another statement again in the matter?

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Sir, I want to know whether I am debarred from knowing the latest stand of the Government in the matter?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member may give it in writing.

Sri V. N. PATIL (Humnabad).—Sir, I have not yet concluded my point of order. Now the question before this House is hon. Member Sri Kadam was expecting a statement from the hon. Chief Minister to the point raised by him. In the meanwhile, hon. Member Sri K. H. Srinivas raised a point of order. In all fairness to this House, it was necessary that hon. Memeber Sri Kadam should have yielded and the hon. Chair could also have asked the hon. Member Sri Kadam to yield and not to proceed with his statement. Now, the hon. Member Sri Kadam has explained what all he wanted to say. Secondly, the issue of Mahajan Commission's Report on the Mysore-Maharashtra border dispute has not become a closed chapter. This matter has been discussed on the floor of this House several times and a substantial motion also has been passed in this behalf by this hon. House. In view of all this, will it be fair on the part of the hon. Member Sri Kadam, the leader of M.E.S. to request the hon. Chief Minister to make a further statement or to explain the stand of the Mysore Government? Is it to serve the interest of some people who are working as supporters to Maharastra? The sense of this House on the Mahajan Commission's Report has already been taken...

Sri P. B. NANDIHALI (Uchagaon).—Sir, this issue is not at all closed.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I am not yielding, Sir.

(Several members rose to speak)

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟೀಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಮಹಾಜನ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತನ್ನ ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಈ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಏಕೀಕರಣ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಪ್ರಚಾರಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಗರಾಜೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್ ಎಂ. ಗುರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ಮುದ್ದೇ ಬಿಹಾಳ್).—ಈಗ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಏಕೀಕರಣ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪಂಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

Sri B. B. SAYANAK.—Who are you to dictate to the Chair?

MR. SPEAKER.—I have heard hon. Member Sri V. N. Patil...

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I have not completed my point, Sir...

MR. SPEAKER.—I have understood the hon. Member's point of order. Hon. Member's point is whatever is decided by the House should not be allowed to be re-opened, Hon. Members Sri V. N. Patil and Sri Vatal Nagaraj are anxious that the decision of the House shou d be respected. That is beyond doubt. Every decision of the House has got to be respected by all, even by those who have voted against it. That is the correct position. Now hon. Member Sri Kadam wanted to raise a point. I wanted him to give it in writing. Bearing in mind all the remarks and observations made by the hon. Members I will consider his request and there the matter should end.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—When it was a closed chapter, Sri K. H. Srinivas requested the Chair that it would not be proper to proceed with the matter further. The hon. Member did not stop his statement at that moment. Having recorded his statement, there would be no value for the point of order that was raised. All that has happened subsequent to the point of order becomes infructuous. Therefore, in all fairness, the proceedings subsequent to the raising of the point of orders should be expunged. That is one request of mine.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—How can the hon. Member dictate that?

Sri V. N. PATIL.—The second thing is we are exercising our rights as representatives of the people.

Sri P. B. NANDIHALI.—We are doing the same thing also

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I request that it should be expunged, because whenever the Chief Minister goes to Delhi to discuss this matter, pressure is sought to be brought upon him.

Sri P. B. NANDIHALI.—It is our right.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Actually when the problem is being discussed, when hon. Members are representing the interests of Mysore State in all earnestness without any emotion and saying what atrocities have been done by Shiv Sena, and when constructive suggestions are made in the best national interests, at that time Sri S. K. Patil says that the members are all arrogant men and the Speaker will assist the Chief Minister in the House. What is the respect, value or privilege this House has got, if it is not protected? How can a person outside the House dictate terms? He might be an ex-Minister and a Congressman. We do not want to allow Congressmen to play with the lives, properties and emotions of Kannadigas and they are not bundles of cotton to be thrown at the feet of Sri S. K. Patil or Shri Chavan.

Sri P. B. NANDIHALI.—We are there to protect the Kannadigas. We are not against them. We want our democratic feelings to be respected.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎನ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್‌ರವರು ಎತ್ತಿದಂತಹ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಹಾಜನ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಏನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೂಡ ಒಂದಲ್ಲ ಹತ್ತು ಸಾರಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಾರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕನ್ನಡಿಗರ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಬೆಳಗಾಂ ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕನ್ನಡಿಗರು ಹಾಗೂ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕನ್ನಡಿಗರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಪಂಗಡವಾದ ಮರಾಠಿಗರನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಮರಾಠಿ ಜನ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೂ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಏಕೀಕರಣ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಾದ ತಪ್ಪು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಮಗೆ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಮೂರಯಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವ ಒಂದು ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಾವು ಇಂತಹ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಕೂಡದು ಮತ್ತು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಮಹಾಜನ್ ವರದಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಗಲೇ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಹೊರಗಿರುವ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜನರೂ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ನಿಲುವಿಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನೇ ನಮ್ಮ ನಭಾನಾಯಕರು ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give due consideration to all the views expressed by the hon. Members.

Sri B. P. KADAM.– If we have no right to speak then we do not want to sit any further and we will walk out of this House.

(Sriyuths B. P. Kadam, P. B. Nandihali, N. B. Sirdesai and B. B. Sayanak withdrew.)

Calling Attention to a Matter of Urgent Public Importance

(*i*) *re*: Collection of Land Revenue and Water Tax in Mandya District

Sri N. A. CHANNE GOWDA (Pandavapura).—I call the attention of the Minister for Reveune to the coercive methods adopted by the Revenue Authorities in Pandavavapura and Srirangapatna Taluks to collect the revised rates of land revenue and water tax.

† Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—The Assurance given by the Revenue Minister in the Legislative Assembly on 29th January 1969 was the same as was given on 17th September 1968 which was already carried out by the issue of general instructions in Revenue Department Circular No. RD 578 SST 68 dated 13th September 1968. These instructions covered garden lands and rain-fed wet lands. In respect of other lands, the old classification was not changed as there was no dispute about general rates and hence there was no need for any assurance or issue of instructions upon this land. The instructions were to receive payments at old rates and account for them as part payment towards the new rates. This reservation was necessary because the law did not provide for going back to the old rates. If the legislation now under consideration gets through as it is expected to do in this session of the Assembly, the question of taking up a fresh revision to the necessary extent will be taken up. The final accounts will be made